

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Hazel, Donald H. (for Petitioner/Executor Colleen K. Jobe)

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Administrator with Will Annexed and (2) Petition for Its Settlement for Allowance of Compensation for Ordinary Services and for (3) Final Distribution (Prob. C. 10800, 10810, 10951)

DO	D: 8/25/2011		COLLEEN K. JOBE, EX	ecutor,	is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Account period: 8/2	25/11 – 5	/10/13	
⊨			·			
Co	nt. from		Accounting Beginning POH		/	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Ending POH			
1	Verified					
1	Inventory		Administrator (statutory)	-	\$20,792.22	
✓	PTC		Attorney	_	\$20,792.22	
✓	Not.Cred.		(statutory)		7/· ·	
✓	Notice of Hrg		Closing -	\$15,00	00.00	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	Distribution, pursuant	to Dec	edent's Will, is	
	Aff.Pub.		to:			
	Sp.Ntc.		Marcha Appllopa		\$10,000,00	
	Pers.Serv.		Marsha Ann Hong	-	\$10,000.00	
√	Conf. Screen Letters 2/9	9/12	Colleen Jobe	-	\$10,000.00	
V	Duties/Supp	7	Christopher Hong	-	\$10,000.00	
	Objections		Shriner's Hospital		\$770,273.21	
	Video			_	Ψ1 1 0,21 0.2 1	
	Receipt					
	CI Report					
✓	9202					
✓	Order					
	Aff. Posting					Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt					Reviewed on: 7/2/2013
	UCCJEA					Updates: Recommendation: SUBMITTED
√	Citation FTB Notice					File 1 – Nuefer

Atty Arthur, Susan K.

Second Amended Report of Sale and Petition for Order Confirming Sale of Real Property

Cont. from Aff. Sub. Wift. Aff. Sub. Wift. Verified Property Sp. Nic. Will Delies Supp Dutles/Supp Dutles/Supp Dutles/Supp Dutles and Substantial Property Sp. Nic. Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close √ Aff. Posting UCCJEA Nevers Sp. Nic. Conf. Screen Status Rpt UCCJEA Sp. Nic. Conf. Screen Status Rpt UCCJEA Sp. Nic. Conf. Screen Status Rpt UCCJEA Sp. Nic. Sp. Nic. Conf. Screen Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% to Rosemaria Berrera and 50% to condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Sp. Nic. Conf. Screen Sp. Nic. Sp.	DOD: 3/3/2012			THERESA F. BARNARD, is Administrator		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
Sale price - \$150,000.00 Cont. from				with Will Annexed/petitioner.		1	
Conf. from Aff. Sub. With. ✓ Verified ✓ Reappraisal Property Not. Cred. ✓ Notice of Hrg ✓ Aff. Mail Aff. Pub. ✓ Sp. Ntc. Duties/Supp Objections Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requires a decidional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Objections Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Order X Aff. Posting Status Rpt Overbid - 158,000.00 within one year before the hearing within one year before the hearing within one year before the hearing on purchasers Derek Pruett and Victoria Pruett pursua to Probate Code 10308(c). Not. Cred. Publication Pootate Not waives bond. Not published (sale authorized per will) Waives bond. (5% - 50% to Rosemarie Berrera and 50% to Joetha Winter) Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Once the real property is sold, the estate will waives bond. Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate.				0.1	000 00	·	
Cont. from Aff. Sub. Wit. Reappraisal - \$147,000.00				•	•	within one year before the hearing.	
Verified	Со			Overbid - 158,	000.00	,	
✓ Nerified Froperty - 5514 E. Pruett and Victoria Pruett pursua to Probate Code 10308(c). ✓ Prc Not.Cred. Publication Not published (sale authorized per will) ✓ Aff.Mail W/ Aff.Pub. W/ Aff.Pub. W/ Buyers Derek Pruett and Victoria Pruett, husband and wife as Joint Tenants Joint Tenants Wictoria Pruett, husband and wife as Joint Tenants Joint Tenants Pers.Serv. Broker \$7,350.00 (5% - 50% to Rosemarie Berrera and 50% to Joetta Winter) Sometioner is a beneficiary of 50% the estate. Video Receipt Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requires additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 Updates:				Reappraisal - \$147	000 00	•	
✓ Reappraisal Property - 5514 E. Nevada, Fresno PTC Not.Cred. Publication published (sale authorized per will) Not published (sale authorized per will) 3. Petition requests that no addition bond be required because the Naives bond. Will waives bond fithe named executor. Petitioner was not the named executor and therefore bond was not waived her. If the court requires an additional bond the bond should be increased to \$311,653.00. Not Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% the estate. Pers.Serv. Broker - \$7,350.00 (5% - 50% to Rosemarie Berrera and 50% to Joetta Winter) Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% the estate. Video Receipt Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 Vidance Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 Petition requests that no addition bond be required because the Naives bond of the named executor. Petitioner was not the named executor and therefore bond was not waived her. If the court requires an additional bond the bond should be increased to \$311,653.00. Not petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the Will waives bond. Video Receipt Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner required because the Will waives bond. Video Receipt Reviewed by: KT Aff. Posting Will provide the court required because the will waives bond. </th <th>✓</th> <th>Verified</th> <th></th> <th>reapplaisai \$147</th> <th>,000.00</th> <th>_ '</th>	✓	Verified		reapplaisai \$147	,000.00	_ '	
PTC Not.Cred. ✓ Notice of Hrg ✓ Aff.Mail ✓ Aff.Pub. ✓ Sp.Ntc. ✓ Sp.Ntc. ✓ Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report CI Report CI Report Order ✓ Aff. Posting Status Rpt Status Rpt Status Rpt Nevada, Fresno Not Publication - Not publisation - Not publisation - Not publication - Not publi	1	Reappraisal		Property - 5514	ł E.		
Notice of Hrg	Ě	DTC:		Nevada, Fresno		101102010 0000 10000(0).	
Notice of Hrg published (sale authorized per will) published (sale authorized per will) published (sale authorized per will) published (sale authorized per will)							
Aff.Mail W/ Aff.Pub. ✓ Sp.Ntc. W/ Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Dutles/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Order Yaff. Posting Status Rpt Aff. Posting Aff. Posting W/ Aff. Mail W/ Buyers Derek Pruett and Victoria Pruett, husband and wife as Joint Tenants Derek Pruett and Victoria Pruett, husband and wife as Joint Tenants The named executor. Petitioner was not the named executor and therefore bond was not waived her. If the court requires an additional bond the bond should be increased to \$311,653.00. Not Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% the estate. Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close ✓ Aff. Posting Status Rpt Aff. Aff. Aff. Posting W/ Aff. Posting The named executor. Petitioner was not the named executor and therefore bond was not waived her. If the court requires an additional bond the bond should be increased to \$311,653.00. Not Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% the estate. 4. Order submitted was for the Amended Petition. Need order the Second Amended Petition. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 Updates:	_					bond be required because the Will	
Aff.Pub. Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Victoria Pruett, husband and wife as Joint Tenants Broker - \$7,350.00 (5% - 50% to Rosemarie Berrera and 50% to Joetta Winter) Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Order X Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Buyers - Derek Pruett and Victoria Pruett, husband and wife as Joint Tenants Was not the named executor an therefore bond was not waived ther. If the court requires an additional bond should be increased to \$311,653.00. Not Petitioner and 50% to Joetta Winter) Video Receipt CI Report Status Rpt Graph Aff. Posting Was not the named executor and therefore bond was not waived ther. If the court requires an additional bond should be increased to \$311,653.00. Not Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% to Rosemarie Berrera and 50% to Joetta Winter) 4. Order submitted was for the Amended Petition. Need order to the Second Amended Petition. Feviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 Updates:	✓			published (sale authorized per v	∕ill)		
Aff.Pub.	✓	Aff.Mail	W/	Boursey Davids Dwin	ا میں ما		
Sp.Ntc. W/ Joint Tenants Joint Joint Petitioner Joint Tenants Joint Joint Petitioner Joint Joint Petitioner Joint Joint Petitioner Joint Joint Joint Joint Joint Joint Joint Tenants Joint Join		Aff.Pub.		- /		therefore bond was not waived for	
Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Duties/Supp Objections Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close ✓ Aff. Posting New - \$7,350.00 (5% - 50% to Rosemarie Berrera and 50% to Joetta Winter) Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close ✓ Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Broker - \$7,350.00 (5% - 70% to Rosemarie Berrera and 50% to Joetta Winter) 4. Order submitted was for the Amended Petition. Need order to the Second Amended Petition. Feviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 Updates:	✓	Sp.Ntc.	W/		C US	·	
Conf. Screen Letters 50% to Rosemarie Berrera and 50% to Joetta Winter) Duties/Supp Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% to Rosemarie Berrera and 50% to Joetta Winter) Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% the estate. Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% the estate. 4. Order submitted was for the Amended Petition. Need order the Second Amended Petition. Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% to Rosemarie Berrera and 50% to Joetta Winter) 4. Order submitted was for the Amended Petition. Need order the Second Amended Petition. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 Updates:		Pers.Serv.		_		be increased to \$311,653.00. Note:	
Duties/Supp Joetta Winter)		Conf. Screen		• •	•	Petitioner is a beneficiary of 50% of	
Duties/supp Objections Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. 4. Order submitted was for the Amended Petition. Need order the Second Amended Petition. Need order the Second Amended Petition. CI Report Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Reviewed by: KT We in the Second Amended Petition. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 UCCJEA Updates:		Letters			U% †O	the estate.	
Objections Video Receipt Current bond is \$162,500.00. Petitioner requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. Amended Petition. Need order to the Second Amended Petition. CI Report Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Reviewed by: KT VIDCOJEA Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 UCCJEA Updates:		Duties/Supp		Joena Winter)		1 Order submitted was for the	
Video Receipt requests additional bond not be required because the will waives bond. the Second Amended Petition. CI Report Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Reviewed by: KT Vaft. Posting Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 UCCJEA Updates:		Objections		Current hand is \$1.62 500.00 Pet	tioner		
CI Report required because the will waives bond. 9202 Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close ✓ Aff. Posting within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Reviewed by: KT UCCJEA Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 Updates:				'			
9202 Once the real property is sold, the estate will be in a condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Reviewed by: KT Status Rpt Aff. Posting Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 UCCJEA UCCJEA		•		•			
Order X estate will be in a condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. ■ Order X estate will be in a condition to close within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. ■ Reviewed by: KT ■ Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 ■ Updates:				•			
✓ Aff. Posting within a short period of time. Obtaining an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Reviewed by: KT Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 UCCJEA Updates:				, , ,			
Status Rpt an additional bond would result in a delay in closing the estate. Reviewed on: 7/3/2013 Updates:			Χ				
UCCJEA delay in closing the estate. UCCJEA Updates:	✓	Aff. Posting		•	•	Reviewed by: KT	
		Status Rpt			'in a	Reviewed on: 7/3/2013	
				delay in closing the estate.		-	
		Citation				Recommendation:	
FTB Notice File 2 – Frazer		FTB Notice				File 2 – Frazer	

2

Atty

Milnes, Michael A. (for Louise Tabor – Administrator – Petitioner)

(1) First and Final Report and Account or Personal Representative and (2) Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Personal Representative and (3) Attorney for Ordinary and Extraordinary Services and for (4) Final Distribution

DO	D: 3-5-12		LOUISE TABOR, Administrator with Will		EDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	D. 3-3-12		Annexed, with full IAEA without bond, is	IVE	ED3/1 ROBLEMS/COMMENTS.
			Petitioner.	1.	Petition is not verified. Need
					verification pursuant to Probate
			Account period: 6-7-12 through 3-31-13		Code §§ 1021, 1023.
			,	2	Nood Inventory and Appraisal
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Accounting: \$1,027,589.03	2.	Need Inventory and Appraisal pursuant to Probate Code §8800.
	Verified	Χ	Beginning POH: \$1,017,847.15		
	Inventory	Χ	(See Needs/Problems/Comments #2)		Note: The petition indicates that two
	PTC	Х	Ending POH: \$1,006,804.15 (cash)		I&As totaling \$1,017,847.15 exist; however, none were ever filed.
~	Not.Cred.	^			
	I I		Administrator (Statutory): \$23,136.25		Note: Petitioner calculates statutory fees, gains, losses, etc., with reference
*	Notice of Hrg		A - la-i-i-i-la-at-a- (5-ta-a-a-lia-a-a-), \$1,000,00		to inventory figures; however,
~	Aff.Mail	W	Administrator (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00		because no I&As have been filed,
	Aff.Pub.		(per Local Rule, for sale of house)		Examiner is unable to verify.
	Sp.Ntc.		Attorney (Statutory): \$23,136.25		5
	Pers.Serv.		γ πιοπιογ (στατοτοιγγ. φ2ο, του. 2ο	3.	Petition and order both state: "Decedent's will dated November
	Conf. Screen		Closing: \$750.00		18, 1993, was admitted to probate by
~	Letters	6-11-12			order of this Court on June 5, 2012."
	Duties/Supp		Distribution pursuant to Decedent's Will		However, Court records indicate that
	Objections		dated 11-14-06:		Decedent's Will dated 11-14-06 was
	Video		1. Kathy Garrison: \$53,265.65		admitted to probate on 6-7-12.
	Receipt		2. William Garrison: \$53,265.65		Need clarification: Is this a clerical
	CI Report		3. Ann Marie Tung: \$53,265.65 4. Steve Dustrude: \$53,265.65		error, or are there additional details or
-	9202		5. Richard Garrison: \$53,265.65		documents that the Court is not
	Order		6. Dale Garrison: \$53,265.65		aware of?
	Oldei		7. Gary Tabor: \$53,265.65		SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES
			8. Linda Hamilton: \$53,265.65		
	Aff. Posting		9. Suzanne Tabor Snowden: \$53,265.65	Re	viewed by: skc
	Status Rpt		10. Florence Schmidt Craig: \$53,265.65	Re	viewed on: 7-3-13
	UCCJEA		11. Evelyn Brown: \$53,265.65	Up	dates:
	Citation		12. James Schmidt: \$53,265.65	Re	commendation:
~	FTB Notice		13. Nina Cook Levens: \$53,265.65	File	e 3 – Garrison
			14. Donna Evans: \$53,265.65 15. Ted Schmidt: \$53,265.65		
			15. 1ea scrimai: \$53,265.65 16. Carolyn Wheeler: \$53,265.65		
			17. Peggy Cook Pollay: \$53,265.65		
			18. Dean Garrison: \$53,265.65		
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	10. Deart Carison, 400,200.00		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Cont'd):

- 4. Four (4) creditor's claims were filed. Need Allowance or Rejection of each claim with notice as appropriate, or withdrawals from the creditors.
 - <u>Note</u>: Petitioner indicates some were paid in full and some were negotiated; however, formal notice of Allowance or Rejection via Form DE-174 is required by Probate Code §§ 9250, 9252.
- 5. Disbursements Schedule indicates that Petitioner paid her own creditor's claim in the amount of \$2,323.85 without prior Court allowance in violation of Probate Code §9252. Need clarification.
- 6. Disbursements Schedule indicates payment to Ken and Florence Craig for expenses of administration in the amount of \$101.81; however, no explanation is provided. Need clarification.
- 7. One heir, Dean Garrison, resides in Thailand. Pursuant to Probate Code §8113, additional notice to a recognized diplomatic or consular official is required if property will pass to a citizen of a foreign country. Need clarification as to whether this additional notice is required. If so, further continuance may be necessary.

Smith, Myron F. (for Petitioner/Executor Judy Toler)

(1) First and Final Report of Executrix and Petition for Its Settlement, and (2) for Final Distribution Under Decedent's Will of Waiver of Accounting [Prob. C. 11640]

DO	D: 11/17/2011	JUI	DY TOLER, E	Executor	, is petitioner.	NE	EDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Ac	counting i	s waived	d.	1.	Petition was not verified. Probate Code §1021.
Со	nt. from	1 & PC		-	\$253,275.69 ???	2.	Need property on hand schedule
		X Att	torney	-	waives		clearly stating the property on hand to be distributed. California Rules of Court, Rule 7.651.
√	Inventory PTC	Exe	ecutor	-	not addressed	3	Petition does not contain a
✓ ✓	Not.Cred.		stribution of ecedent's v		y on hand pursuant to	0.	statement regarding if notice to the Franchise Tax Board was performed,
✓	Notice of Hrg	ll l	dy Toler				as required by Probate Code 9202(c)(1).
✓	Aff.Mail		rry Arnold Inette Nich	nols		4.	Need Order. Local Rule 7.1 states a
	Aff.Pub.	Ste	eph				proposed Order shall be submitted
	Sp.Ntc.						with all pleadings that request relief. If the proposed order is not received in
	Pers.Serv.						the Probate Filing Clerk's Office ten
	Conf.						(10) days before the scheduled
√	Screen Letters						hearing, a continuance may be required.
	Duties/Supp						·
	Objections						
	Video						
	Receipt						
√	CI Report 9202						
_		X					
	Aff. Posting	^				Re	viewed by: KT
	Status Rpt						viewed on: 7/3/2013
	UCCJEA						dates:
	Citation						commendation:
	FTB Notice	Χ				File	e 4 – Barnes
							A

Atty Atty Cunningham, Nikole E., of McCormick, Barstow (for Petitioner Michelle K. Johnson) Sanoian, Joanne, of Law Offices of Joanne Sanoian (for Christine Reynolds, Respondent)

Petition for (1) Finding of Financial Elder Abuse (W & I C. 15610.30), (2) Finding of Conversion, (3) Recovery of Estate Property (Prob. C. 850, et seq)

			MICHELLE K. JOHNSON, daughter, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Col ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	nt. from 051513 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice	W/	 Petitioner states: Petitioner is the only child of the Decedent and is a resident of Carmel-by-the-Sea, Monterey County, California; Respondent CHRISTINE REYNOLDS is and was residing in Fresno County at all material times alleged herein; Ms. Reynolds was the caregiver for the Decedent during the last 3 years of his life and is not related by blood or otherwise to the Decedent; Petitioner is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Respondents sued as Does 1 through 20, inclusive, and therefore sues these Respondents by fictitious names; Petitioner will amend the Petition to allege Doe Respondents' true names and capacities when ascertained; Petitioner believe that each of the fictitiously named Doe Respondents are responsible in some manner for the occurrences alleged herein, and that Petitioner's damages were proximately caused by those Respondents; Decedent and Deloris Smith (DOD 3/4/2009) were married on 8/13/1953, and had only one daughter together, the Petitioner; Decedent and Deloris remained married until Deloris' death; During their marriage, Decedent and Deloris purchased a Farmers Insurance Agency and named the agency "George and Dee Smith Insurance"; For ~15 years, Respondent worked on and off 	Continued from 5/15/2013. Minute Order states Ms. Sanoian advises the Court that Mr. Russo referred Ms. Reynolds to her office. Ms. Reynolds informs the Court that Mr. Russo is no longer representing her. Ms. Sanoian requests a continuance. Matter continued to 7/8/2013. At the request of Ms. Cunningham, the Court sets the Petition for Letters on 7/8/2013 [Please refer to Page 5B]. 1. Need proposed order. Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 7/2/13 Updates: Recommendation: File 5A – Smith
	Citation		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		For 15 years Despendent worked an and off	File 5A – Smith

Petitioner states, continued:

- In February 2009, Deloris became very ill and was hospitalized; immediately after hospitalization, Respondent suddenly moved into Decedent's home and began serving as his full-time caretaker;
- Deloris died on 3/4/2009, two weeks after Respondent moved into Decedent's home;
- Although Petitioner found it strange that Respondent suddenly moved into her parents' home, she was grateful
 that someone was there to take care of her father;
- After Deloris died, Respondent began to slowly alienate Decedent from his family and exercise her influence over him to keep his family away and isolate him;
- Respondent changed the locks on Decedent's house and began to monitor Decedent at all times;
 Respondent began screening Decedent's telephone calls and made sure to be present whenever
 Decedent's family came to visit; Petitioner alleges that Respondent even asked neighbors to contact her if anyone came to visit Decedent while Respondent was out of the house;
- After Respondent moved into Decedent's house, personal property and money within his home began to
 disappear; Petitioner alleges Respondent was stealing and/or misappropriating said personal property and
 money; Petitioner alleges that Respondent used the missing property as a means to place a wedge between
 Decedent and his family in an effort to further isolate him and control his decisions; Respondent's daughter and
 her daughter's boyfriend also moved into Decedent's house and further isolated him from his family;
- During the time period of Respondent's control over Decedent, Decedent was diagnosed with cancer and began receiving chemotherapy, and Decedent's health deteriorated further; Respondent did not inform Petitioner that Decedent was suffering from cancer and Petitioner found out about the diagnosis from a third party;
- After learning of Decedent's cancer diagnosis, Petitioner and her husband traveled to Fresno in order to spend
 time with Decedent; Petitioner was shocked to discover the impact the cancer and chemotherapy had on her
 father; Decedent appeared incoherent, slurred his speech, and seemed to be influenced significantly by his
 medications;
- Despite the fact that Respondent was supposed to be maintaining the house, Decedent's home was filthy and appeared as though it had not been cleaned for an extended period of time;
- Petitioner purchased cleaning supplies and proceeded to clean the house, and when Petitioner confronted
 Respondent's daughter regarding the state of the house, Respondent's daughter claimed that they cleaned
 the house every week; however, it was apparent that the house had not been cleaned in months;
- Respondent continued to further isolate Decedent from his family; at the same time, due to Decedent's
 declining health, he was no longer able to run and operate the Insurance Agency, and persons working at the
 Insurance Agency became suspicious of Respondent's conduct and apparent influence over Decedent;
- Decedent was forced to sell the Insurance Agency; as part of the agreement to sell the Insurance Agency,
 Decedent was to receive 3 installment payments amounting to ~\$50,000.00;
- Petitioner was concerned with what she was observing and hearing from others, and Petitioner contacted the
 Fresno Police Department on 6/22/2012 and filed a report for elder abuse; it was at that time that Petitioner
 learned that Respondent's name now appeared on the Deed to Decedent's house;

~Please see additional page~

Petitioner states, continued:

- Shortly thereafter, on 10/3/2012, Decedent died and after his death Respondent remained living in Decedent's house; Respondent refused to grant Petitioner access to the house and would not permit Petitioner to remove her father's belongings that were contained within the house;
- Petitioner alleges Respondent had access to Decedent's bank accounts both during and after his death; in
 addition to the bank account funds, Petitioner alleges Respondent also converted at least a portion of the
 proceeds Decedent was to receive for the sale of the Insurance Agency; after Decedent's death, Respondent
 contacted the Farmers Insurance Agency, stated she was the executor of Decedent's estate, and demanded
 that she be given the final check owed to Decedent for the sale of the Insurance Agency.

• First Cause of Action – Financial Elder Abuse:

- At all relevant times, Decedent was over the age of 65; for several years prior to Decedent's death, Respondent was a "care custodian" for Decedent within the meaning of Probate Code § 21363; during the time Respondent served as a care custodian for Decedent, Respondent took, secreted, appropriated and retained both real and personal property of Decedent for wrongful use and with the intent to defraud, or by way of undue influence;
- Respondent took and appropriated personal property and money from Decedent, including but not limited to personal property within Decedent's house and proceeds from the sale of the Insurance Agency; Respondent fraudulently or by undue influence wrongfully obtained title to real property owned by Decedent that is located on Garden Avenue in Fresno;
- Respondent's conduct constituted "financial abuse" within the meaning of Welfare & Institutions Code 15610.3(a) in that Decedent was an "elder" within the meaning of that section during the perpetration of the acts of Respondent upon him, and that Respondent took and appropriated Decedent's property in bad faith for a wrongful use and with intent to defraud, and diminished the resources available to Decedent for his care and support during his lifetime; Decedent was harmed by Respondent's depletion of his assets; Respondent's conduct constituted "financial abuse" within the meaning of Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610.3(c) in that Decedent was an elder within the meaning of that section during the perpetration of the acts of Respondent upon him, and that Respondent took an appropriated Decedent's property by undue influence as defined in Civil Code § 1575;
- As a direct and proximate result of this financial elder abuse, Decedent and Petitioner suffered damages in an amount according to proof at trial; in addition, Petitioner is entitled to recover punitive damages and up to 3 times those punitive damages as alleged above, and is entitled to recover remedies provided for in Welfare & Institutions Code § 15756.5, including reasonable attorney's fees and costs as provided in § 15657.5.

~Please see additional page~

Petitioner states, continued:

• Second Cause of Action – Conversion:

- Both before and after Decedent's death, Respondent had access to real and personal property owned by Decedent; during that time, Petitioner alleges Respondent converted Decedent's money and personal property without his knowledge or consent, or by way of undue influence;
- Respondent wrongfully converted at least a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the Insurance Agency; additionally, Petitioner alleges that Respondent wrongfully converted personal property and money contained within Decedent's home
- Respondent's alleged conduct constituted conversion of Decedent's money and personal property for Respondent's personal use and consumption;
- Petitioner alleges that Respondent was not and is not entitled to the wrongfully converted property;
- As a direct and proximate result of such conversion, Decedent and Petitioner have suffered damages in an amount according to proof at trial.

• Third Cause of Action – Recovery of Property Pursuant to Probate Code § 805:

- Petitioner, as the sole daughter and heir of the Decedent, is a person who is interested in the Decedent's estate as a successor in interest to his property and a successor in interest to any cause of action possessed by Decedent at the time of his death;
- Respondent CHRISTINE REYNOLDS holds title and possession to certain real and personal property as follows: real property on Garden Ave. in Fresno; personal property of Decedent located within the home on the real property on Garden Ave.; proceeds from the sale of Decedent's Farmers Insurance Agency; and any other property, both real and personal, owned by Decedent at the time of his death;
- Petitioner claims the right to title and possession of the foregoing property as the successor in interest to Decedent's estate.

Petitioner prays for an Order:

- 1. On the First Cause of Action Financial Elder Abuse:
 - (a) For consequential and special damages proximately caused by Respondent's acts of financial elder abuse occasioned upon Decedent, according to proof at trial;
 - (b) For Respondent to be deemed to have predeceased the Decedent for purposes of inheritance pursuant to Probate Code § 259;
 - (c) For a constructive trust compelling Respondent to transfer all wrongfully obtained property to Petitioner pursuant to Civil Code § 2223 and 2224;
 - (d) For punitive damages against Respondent in amounts according to proof at trial;
 - (e) For a treble award of damages against Respondent pursuant to Civil Code § 3345;
 - (f) For an award of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to California statute.

~Please see additional page~

Fourth Additional Page 5A, George L. Smith (Estate)

Case No. 13CEPR00058

Petitioner prays for an Order, continued:

- 2. On the Second Cause of Action Conversion:
 - (a) For consequential and special damages proximately caused by Respondent's wrongful conduct amounting to conversion in an amount according to proof at trial;
 - (b) For a constructive trust compelling Respondent to transfer all wrongfully obtained property to Petitioner pursuant to Civil Code § 2223 and 2224;
 - (c) For punitive damages against Respondent in amounts according to proof at trial;
 - (d) For a treble award of punitive damages against Respondent pursuant to Civil Code § 3345;
 - (e) For an award of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to California statute.
- 3. On the Third Cause of Action Recovery of Property:
 - (a) Directing Respondent to transfer to the Trust the property that was wrongfully removed from Decedent's estate and to execute any documents or file any court proceedings necessary in order to fully complete the transfer;
 - (b) Directing Respondent to immediately deliver possession to Petitioner of property that was wrongfully taken from Decedent;
 - (c) For statutory damages in the amount of twice the amount wrongfully taken by Respondent, pursuant to Probate Code § 859;
 - (d) For an award of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to California statute.

Affidavit to Establish Successor in Interest for Commencement of Action on Behalf of Decedent was filed 4/9/2013 by Petitioner, stating a proceeding is pending for administration of Decedent's estate but Petitioner is unable to pursue the administration due to the actions of the Respondent; and affirming that Petitioner is the Decedent's successor in interest as defined in California code of Civil Procedure § 377.1, and succeeds to the Decedent's interest in the action, and that no other person has a superior right to commence the action or proceeding or to be substituted for Decedent in the pending action or proceeding.

Atty Cunningham, Nikole E., of McCormick, Barstow (for Petitioner Michelle K. Johnson) Atty Sanoian, Joanne, of Law Offices of Joanne Sanoian (for Christine Reynolds, Respondent)

Petition for Letters Administration; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 10/03/2012			MICHELLE K. JOHNSON, daughter is petitioner	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			and requests appointment as Administrator	
			without bond.	Note: If the Petition is granted, status hearings will be set as follows:
Со	nt. from		Petitioner is sole heir and waives bond.	 Friday, 12/13/2013 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			inventory and appraisal; and
✓	Verified		Full IAEA – o.k.	• Friday, 9/12/2014 at 9:00a.m. in
	Inventory			Dept. 303 for the filing of the first account and/or final
	PTC			distribution.
	Not.Cred.		Decedent died intestate	distribution.
✓	Notice of Hrg		Desirlare and France	Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10
✓	Aff.Mail	w/o	Residence: Fresno Publication: The Business Journal	days prior to the hearings on the
✓	Aff.Pub.			matter the status hearings will come off calendar and no
	Sp.Ntc.		Estimated value of the estate:	appearance will be required.
	Pers.Serv.		Personal property - \$47,000.00	
	Conf.		Real property - \$150,000.00	Note: Court file does not contain
	Screen		Total: - \$197,000.00	proposed order and letters; if Petition is granted, Petitioner must
	Letters	Χ		submit new proposed order and
✓	Duties/Supp		Probate Referee: Steven Diebert	letters.
	Objections		Trobale Related. Glever Blobert	
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
	Order	Χ		
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: LV / LEG
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 7/2/2013
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 5B - Smith

Hinshaw, Caroline K. of San Francisco (for Mark Reiff – nominated Executor/Petitioner)

Amended Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary: Authorization to Administrator Under the Independent Administration of Estates Act

DOD: 03/29/13			MARK REIFF, named Executor, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Petitioner, and requests	
			appointment as Executor with bond	
	_		set at \$120,000.00.	1. Need Order.
	nt. from 052013	,	A de de Deiffe en en en el el el Committe	
061	013		Mark Reiff was appointed Special	Note: If the Petition is granted, status
✓	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Administrator on 4/10/2013. Letters of Special Administration expire on	hearings will be set as follows:
✓	Verified		7/8/2013.	 Friday August 2, 2013 at 9:00 am in
	Inventory		77072013.	Dept. 303 for filing of bond;
	PTC		Full IAEA – OK	Fidus December / 2010 110 00
	Not.Cred.			 Friday, December 6, 2013 at 9:00 am in Dept. 303 for filing of the Inventory
✓	Notice of Hrg		Will dated 10/24/74	& Appraisal; and
✓	Aff.Mail	w/	Codicil dated 03/25/13	
✓	Aff.Pub.		Codicil dated 03/28/13	 Friday, September 5, 2014 at 9:00 am in Dept. 303 for filing of the
	Sp.Ntc.			Accounting/Petition for Distribution.
	Pers.Serv.		Residence – Kingsburg	
	Conf. Screen		Publication – Selma Enterprise &	Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the
✓	Letters		Kingsburg Recorder	hearings on the matter the status hearing
✓	Duties/Supp		Estimated Value of the Estate:	will come off calendar and no
	Objections		Personal property - \$43,000.00	appearance will be required.
	Video		Annual income - 73,000.00	
	Receipt		Total - \$116,000.00	
	CI Report		¥113,333.03	
	9202		Probate Referee: STEVEN DIEBERT	
	Order	Х		
	Aff. Posting		Points and Authorities in Support of	Reviewed by: JF /KT
	Status Rpt		Mark Reiff's Appointment as	Reviewed on: 7/3/2013
	UCCJEA		Executor filed on 7/1/2013.	Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 6 – Carlson

Atty James, Ruben (pro per – beneficiary/Petitioner)
Atty Cobb, Lee S.W. (for Karl Dewazien – co-trustee)

Petition to Compel Trustee to Account [PC 17200 (b) (7)]

Vincent DOD: 05/13/0	07	RUBEN JAMES aka ALFRED DEWAZIEN, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
Wadja DOD: 12/16/02		Petitioner.	- "	
Cont. from 061013		Petitioner states: 1. He is the income beneficiary of the Vincent	Continued from 6/10/2013. Minute order states the Court orders the parties to participate in mediation.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.		& Wadja Dewazien Trust, dated 06/26/92	The Petition does not list the	
		(the "Trust").	names and addresses of all	
✓ Verified		2. Vincent Dewazien died on 05/13/17. Since	persons entitled to Notice. Note:	
Inventory		then, Karl Dewazien has been the trustee of	The Petition does list the names of	
PTC Not Cred		the Trust.	the beneficiaries of the Trust,	
Not.Cred.		3. The trustee has never provided Petitioner	however, this does not necessary include all persons who are	
✓ Notice of Hrg		with an accounting of the Trust. On April 2, 3 and 9, Petitioner demanded that the trustee	entitled to notice. Need	
	w/o	provide him an accounting. As of the filing	verification of all persons entitled	
Aff.Pub.		of this Petition, the trustee has failed to	to notice pursuant to Probate	
Sp.Ntc.		prepare and provide Petitioner with an	Code § 17201.	
Pers.Serv.		account or respond to the requests.	2. Need Order.	
Conf. Screen		4. The trustee did not divide assets according to	2. Nood Claol.	
Letters		the will and trust.		
Duties/Supp		5. The Trustee never provided Petitioner with a	Note: It appears that Petitioner may	
Objections		Notice of Proposed Action before he sold	be referencing additional trust instruments in addition to The Vincent	
Video Receipt		cars and other household property.	& Wadja Dewazien Trust dated	
CI Report		Petitioner requests that:	06/26/92 (The Vincent Dewazien Living	
9202		1. Karl Dewazien, trustee, be instructed to	Trust). The matter presently before the	
Order >		prepare and file with this Court an account	court is only in regardgs to the Vincent	
	^	of the Vincent and Wadja Dewazien Trust	& Wadja Dewazien Trust dated 06/26/92. The Court may require	
		since 05/13/07;	clarification as to the existence of	
	,	2. Karl Dewazien, trustee, be instructed to	additional trusts.	
Aff. Posting		Petition this Court for the settlement of the	Reviewed by: JF / KT	
Status Rpt		account and give notice of hearing on the	Reviewed on: 7/3/2013	
UCCJEA		Petition.	Updates:	
Citation	,	3. The Court order attorneys' fees and costs as	Recommendation:	
FTB Notice		allowed by law.	File 7 – Dewazien	
		Objection to Petition to Compel Trustee to Account filed 05/17/13 by Karl Dewazien states: 1. The Trust was established by Vincent Dewazien and Wadja Dewazien, the parents of Petitioner and Objector, on or about 06/26/92 and they served as the initial co- trustees of the Trust. Continued on Page 2		

Page 2

- 3. Wadja died on 12/16/02 and Vincent died on 05/13/07. Under the terms of the Trust, Petitioner and Objector were nominated as the successor co-trustees of the Trust and acted as successor co-trustees of the Trust.
- 4. Despite being a co-trustee of the Trust along with Objector, Petitioner filed this Petition, in which he inexplicably neglects to mention that he was also a co-trustee of the Trust during the time period for which he now seeks an accounting.
- 5. The last remaining assets of the Trust were distributed in or about June 2008 and the administration of the Trust was brought to a close at that time.
- 6. Objector objects to the Petition on the grounds that
 - There is no basis to compel an accounting because Petitioner was also acting as a Co-Trustee of the Trust.
 Pursuant to Probate Code § 16061, there is generally no duty to account when both the Co-Trustees and
 the beneficiaries are one in the same. Thus, given Petitioner's involvement as a trustee, there is no basis to
 compel an accounting.
 - 2. An account is barred by the statute of limitations. Petitioner brought this Petition roughly 6 years after the death of Vincent Dewazien and roughly 5 years after the final distribution of assets from the Trust. In light of this extended delay, Petitioner is now barred from compelling an account by the applicable statute of limitations. Probate Code § 16460(a) (2), a "claim is barred to that beneficiary unless a proceeding to assert the claim is commenced within three years after the beneficiary is discovered, or reasonably should have been discovered, the subject of the claim." Here, given that Petitioner was a co-trustee and involved in the administration of the Trust, he either was expressly aware of or should have been aware of the acts undertaken by Objector, then he had the ability as co-trustee, to immediately ascertain and investigate all pertinent facts related to the trust administration. Despite this ability, Petitioner unreasonably and inexplicably waited roughly 5 years to file this Petition. Thus, the Petition is barred by the applicable 3 year statute of limitations under Probate Code § 16460(a)(2).
 - 3. An account is barred by consent. Not only is the Petition untimely, it seeks an accounting of acts in which Petitioner previously consented. A beneficiary generally "may not hold the trustee liable for an act or omission of the trustee as a breach of trust if the beneficiary consented to the act or omission before or at the time of the act or omission." As co-trustee, Petitioner was involved in the administration of the Trust and the distribution of the Trust assets and the distribution of assets required the consent and signature of Petitioner as co-trustee.
 - 4. An account is barred by the doctrine of laches due to the unreasonable delay in filing the Petition. Preparing an account at this time would be unduly burdensome and expensive.

For all of the above reasons, the Court should deny the Petition in its entirety. In the alternative, if the Court is inclined to grant the Petition, then the costs of such accounting should be borne equally by both Petitioner and Objector who were the acting co-trustees of the Trust.

Response to Objection to Petition to Compel Trustee to Account filed 06/03/13 states:

1. Although both he and Objector were named as co-trustees, only Karl acted as the actual trustee. Petitioner was a co-trustee in name only. In reality, the only function he ever performed was signing one document, the Shareholder Request Form, in July 2008, which he does not remember signing. He can only surmise that he was led to believe the document was a routine clerical form he needed to sign after his father died. No accompanying documentation was provided and all other information about the administration of the Trust was equally withheld by Objector. Petitioner states that he was continuously told by Karl that there were no assets left in the Trust.

Continued on Page 3

- 2. Petitioner is now seeking to find out what the remaining assets of the Trust were, how they were distributed, and why he didn't receive any of them, despite the Will and Trust specifying that the assets were to be divided equally between he and Karl. Petitioner was not aware that the administration of the Trust was brought to a close in June 2008. Karl did not inform Petitioner that he took all the remaining assets in the Trust and put them into a new trust (the Dewazien Family Trust), removing Petitioner as Co-Trustee and beneficiary, nor did he reveal the new trust's account number until it appeared in his Objection.
- 3. Petitioner further responds to the objection as follows:
 - a. Petitioner was a co-trustee in name only. Karl functioned as the acting trustee and maintained the Trust and withheld relevant information about the Trust, handled all of the Trust documentation, and evaded questions about the assets of the Trust. Petitioner was told repeatedly by Karl that there was nothing in the Trust. Petitioner also now believes that he was removed as a co-trustee without his knowledge or consent. Due to Petitioner's lack of access to function as a co-trustee, an accounting is necessary.
 - b. The statute of limitations has just begun. Although it has been 5 years since the reported distribution of assets of the Trust, Petitioner did not receive any distributions other than the title to his house, which he has not learned was not titled to him as believed, it is titled in such a way that Karl still has ownership. One asset Petitioner specifically requested was their father's truck. With full knowledge that Petitioner wanted the truck, Karl sold it instead and then kept all of the proceeds from the sale. Petitioner did not receive many of their parents assets that he was entitled to including, proceeds from the sale of Vincent's vehicles, investment funds, household effects, a bank account his mother kept for him, a diamond ring his mother promised him, nor any other assets that there may have been. Petitioner states that he is unsure of all of the assets. Petitioner states that he could not have reasonably discovered sufficient information because he was told there was nothing in the Trust accounts. He was never provided with the Trust account numbers, bank statements, or on-line login information. All of which were unreasonably withheld by Karl. Given that Karl withheld information about the Trust, maintained that the Trust had no assets, evaded questions, did not consult Petitioner, made all decisions unilaterally and did not communicate those decisions to Petitioner. Because of this Petitioner was unable to ascertain any facts related to the trust administration and only discovered that the Trust administration had been closed in 2008 when he received Karl's objection. Therefore Petitioner believes that the date he received the objection, 05/21/13, is when the statute of limitations begins.
 - c. Petitioner never consented. Petitioner maintains that he never functioned as a co-trustee and did not consent to any actions taken by Karl. In fact, Petitioner filed this petition in order to find out what Karl did in the administration of the Trust. The Objection states that distribution of assets required the consent and signature of the Petitioner, however, he ever gave consent to any distribution, other than the home he was living in, was not involved in any decisions about remaining distributions and never knowingly signed for any distributions. Karl could only produce one document with Petitioner's signature (the Shareholder Request Form) which appears to be a request to transfer investment funds to the Trust and not a distribution. Petitioner maintains that he did not believe this document to have anything to do with a distribution and signed it believing that it was for simple clerical purposes as had been stated to him by Karl.
 - d. Laches does not apply because any unreasonable delay in filing the Petition is due to Karl first claiming that there were no funds remaining in the Trust to distribute, then later claiming that there were additional outstanding expenses and he used all remaining Trust funds. When asked about the disposition of the Trust assets, Karl stopped communication entirely.

Continued on Page 4

Page 4

- Petitioner has waited patiently for years for information from Karl. When he refused to further discuss the matter or have any communication, Petitioner realized that something was undeniably amiss and is now asking for the court's assistance.
- e. The status of the Trust is in question. Since Petitioner did not knowingly consent to the closing of the account or sign any documentation to close the account, he does not believe that the Trust account is actually closed.
- f. Petitioner is also seeking to find out what became of the property mentioned in the Vincent Dewazien Living Trust, Schedule A, #4 Note and Deed of Trust owned by D. Cooper.
- g. On 05/24/13, Petitioner called Franklin Templeton Investments to inquire about the Dewazien Family Trust. He was shocked to discover that his SSN is not longer associated with that trust, he is no longer co-trustee and that another co-trustee has been appointed. Petitioner had no knowledge of this until 05/24/13 and did not knowingly consent to or approve these changes.
- h. The Shareholder Request Form lacks the Stamp of Seal of Eligible Guarantor Institution and the name of the institution represented. Petitioner does not remember appearing before anyone to sign this documents and does not know the identity of the person. Without the required stamp or seal how can we know if this person is truly authorized and therefore know that this document is valid.

In conclusion, Petitioner states that his aim in filing this Petition is to find out what the Trust assets were, what happened to them and to recover his losses. Petitioner does not agree that the cost of an accounting should be split between them. He states that he is not able to pay for an accounting due to his current financial situation. Additionally, since Karl made all of the decisions and administered the Trust unilaterally, he should bear the cost of an accounting and be responsible for his actions.

Mediation Status Report filed by Karl Dewazien on 6/18/2013 states the parties appeared at mediation on 6/11/2013 and participated in a half day of mediation with Mr. Fisher. The parties were unable to resolve this matter through mediation and Dewazien believes that any further Court ordered mediation, particularly with Mr. Fisher, would be neither productive nor cost effective.

Roberts, Gregory J. (for Petitioner Rebecca Suzanne Perry)
Petition for Letters of Special Administration

DOD: 1/16/2012			REBECCA SUZANNE PERRY is petitioner and	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			requests appointment as Special	
			Administrator without bond.	
			Will dated: 3/5/1996	1. Need Letters
Со	nt. from		Will daled. 0/0/1//0	1. Need Letters
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Residence: Fresno	2. Original Will needs to be
√	Verified		Publication: Not required.	deposited with the court pursuant to Probate Code §8200.
	Inventory		Estimated value of the estate:	10 1 100 010 0000 30200
	PTC		Real property - \$-(79,750.00)	
	Not.Cred.			
✓	Notice of Hrg		Petitioner states a Special Administrator is	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	needed in order to sign documents on behalf	
	Aff.Pub.		of the decedent with regard to a short sale of	
	Sp.Ntc.		the real property. The real property has a	
	Pers.Serv.		market value of \$90,250.00 and the	
	Conf. Screen		mortgage on the property is \$170,000.00. There are no other assets of Decedent's	
	Letters	Χ	estate. The beneficiaries had anticipated	
	Duties/Supp		allowing the property to go into foreclosure.	
	Objections		A proposed buyer of the property has	
	Video		agreed to purchase the property on a short	
	Receipt		sale. The only anticipated action required of	
	CI Report		the Special Administrator will be that of the	
	9202		signing of the documents relating to the sale	
✓	Order		of the property.	
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 7/3/2013
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 8 – Stotts

Docker, William F (for Carol Goulart – Petitioner – Daughter)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DC	D: 08/24/2012		CAROL GOULART, do executor without bo	_		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			CACCOTOL WILLIOUS DO	110, 15 P	emoner.	
Со	nt. from		Full IAEA – o.k.			
✓	Aff.Sub.Wit.					
✓	Verified		Will dated: 07/16/198	30		
	Inventory		D. 11			
	PTC		Residence: Fresno Publication: The Busi	noss lo	urnal	
	Not.Cred.		1 UDIICAIIOH, IHE BUSII	1033 10	ona	
✓	Notice of Hrg		Estimated value of th	a Ectat	ho:	
1	Aff.Mail	w/	Personal property	<u>-</u>	\$200,000.00	
✓	Aff.Pub.		Real property Total	-	\$750,000.00 \$950,000.00	Note: If the petition is granted status
	Sp.Ntc.					hearings will be set as follows:
	Pers.Serv.			Б.		
	Conf.		Probate Referee: Ste	even Di	lebert	• Friday, 12/13/2013 at 9:00a.m.
	Screen					in Dept. 303 for the filing of the
✓	Letters					inventory and appraisal <u>and</u>
✓	Duties/Supp					• Friday, 09/12/2014 at 9:00a.m.
	Objections					in Dept. 303 for the filing of the first
	Video					account and final distribution.
	Receipt					Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required
	CI Report					documents are filed 10 days prior to the
	9202					hearings on the matter the status
✓	Order					hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.
	Aff. Posting					Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt					Reviewed on: 07/02/2013
	UCCJEA					Updates:
	Citation					Recommendation: Submitted
	FTB Notice					File 9 – Teixeira
						0

Kelly, Darlene Azevedo (for Tiffany Leann Galassie – Petitioner – Daughter)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DC	D: 11/28/2012		TIFFANY LEANN GALASSIE,	NE	EDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			daughter/named executor without bond, is		
			petitioner.	1.	Dana D. Walker is named in the
					decedent's will as the alternate
	nt. from				executor however is not listed in
		X	Full IEAEA – o.k.		section 8 of the petition.
		^		2.	Need proof of service of Notice of
✓	Verified		Will dated: 01/10/2009	۷.	the Petition to Administer Estate on
	Inventory		77 m dated: 01/10/2007		Dana D. Walker, named alternate
	PTC				executor, pursuant to Probate Code
	Not.Cred.		Residence: Fresno		§8110(b).
1	Notice of		Publication: The Business Journal		
*	Hrg			3.	Need Proof of Subscribing Witness.
✓	Aff.Mail		Estimated value of the Estate:		
1	Aff.Pub.	w/	Personal property - \$500.00	No	te: If the petition is granted status
Ě			Real property - \$180,000.00	he	arings will be set as follows:
	Sp.Ntc.		Total - \$180,500.00		
	Pers.Serv.				• Friday, 12/13/2013 at 9:00a.m.
	Conf.		Durala anta Dafarra a Chavara Diala ant		in Dept. 303 for the filing of the
	Screen		Probate Referee: Steven Diebert		inventory and appraisal and
✓	Letters				• Friday, 09/12/2014 at 9:00a.m.
√	Duties/Supp				in Dept. 303 for the filing of the first
	Objections				account and final distribution.
	Video				accorn and marasmooners.
	Receipt			Pυ	rsuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required
	CI Report			do	cuments are filed 10 days prior to the
	9202				arings on the matter the status
					aring will come off calendar and no
 ✓	Order				pearance will be required.
	Aff. Posting			Re	viewed by: LV
	Status Rpt			Re	viewed on: 07/03/2013
	UCCJEA			Up	dates:
	Citation			Re	commendation:
	FTB Notice			File	e 10 – Schulteis

Magness, Marcus D. (for Patricia Paul Tamiyasu – Petitioner – Daughter)
Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

		Petition for Producte of Will and for Letters Testo	1
DOD: 11/06/	2007	PATRICIA PAUL TAMIYASU,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		daughter/named executor is petitioner.	
			1. Need name and date of death of
			deceased spouse pursuant to Local
0.16		Full IAEA – o.k.	Rule 7.1.1D.
Cont. from			
Aff.Sub.V	Nit. s/p		
✓ Verified		Will dated: 09/21/2007	
Inventor	у		
PTC		Residence: Fresno	
Not.Cred	d.	Publication: The Business Journal	
√ Notice o	of		
Hrg			
✓ Aff.Mail	w/	Estimated value of the Estate: Personal property - \$32,3335.00	Note: If the petition is granted status
✓ Aff.Pub.			hearings will be set as follows:
Sp.Ntc.		Probate Referee: Rick Smith	• Friday, 12/13/2013 at 9:00a.m.
Pers.Serv	/ .		in Dept. 303 for the filing of the
Conf.			inventory and appraisal and
Screen			iriverilory aria appraisar <u>ana</u>
✓ Letters			• Friday, 09/12/2014 at 9:00a.m.
			in Dept. 303 for the filing of the first
✓ Duties/S	upp		account and final distribution.
Objection	ons		
Video			Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required
Receipt			documents are filed 10 days prior to the
CI Repo	rt		hearings on the matter the status
9202			hearing will come off calendar and no
√ Order			appearance will be required.
Aff. Posti	ing		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rp	ot		Reviewed on: 07/03/2013
UCCJEA			Updates:
Citation			Recommendation:
FTB Notic			File 11 – Paul
	1		11

12 John R. Panzak (Estate)

Case No. 10CEPR00505

Atty Shekoyan, James E., of Baker Manock & Jensen (for John R. Panzak, Jr., Deceased Executor;

and for Petitioner Sharon Panzak, spouse)

Atty Risner, Randy J., sole practitioner (for Competing Petitioner Gordon Panzak, son)

Probate Status Hearing Re: the Estate; and Failure to File a First Account or Petition for Final Distribution [Prob. C. 12200, et seq.]

Findi Disiribulion (Frob. C. 12200, et seq.)			
DOD: 3/12/2010	JOHN R. PANZAK, JR., son, was appointed Executor of	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
	the estate and <i>Letters</i> issued on 8/11/2010. Petitions by Claimants GORDON PANZAK, son, and CHARLES PANZAK, son, were filed on 3/9/2011 seeking	Page 13 is the related matter of the JOHN R. PANZAK LIVING TRUST.	
Cont. from 090712, 011113, 041913, 042913 Aff.Sub.W Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred.	the Court's determination of ownership of specific items of property including a pick-up truck and real property located in Santa Cruz. Following the filing of demurrers, amended petitions, and amended demurrers, an Order on Demurrer to Second Amended Petition to Determine Ownership of Real Property signed on 1/31/2012 sustains the general demurrer to the second amended petition without leave to amend.	Continued from 4/29/2013. Minute Order states the Court advises Mr. Shekoyan that he will be responsible for preparing the first account. Matter continued to 7/8/2013. 1. Pursuant to the Court's order on 4/29/2013, need First	
Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.	Petition for Letters of Administration with Will Annexed was filed 3/11/2013 by SHARON PANZAK, spouse, stating the Executor, JOHN R. PANZAK, JR., died on 2/15/2013, and requesting she be appointed successor administrator of the estate.	Account for the period of 8/11/2010 to 2/15/2013, representing the administration of the deceased personal representative, JOHN R.	
Conf. Screen Letters Duties/S	Petition for Successor Letters Testamentary was filed 3/18/2013 by GORDON PANZAK requesting appointment as the named alternate Executor of Decedent's Will.	PANZAK, JR., pursuant to Probate Code § 10953(c), which provides, in pertinent part, if a personal representative dies and no	
Objection Video Receipt Stat. Report 9202	Minute Order dated 4/29/2013 from the hearing on the petitions of Sharon Panzak and Grodon Panzak states the petition is denied as to Sharon Panzak, and as to Gordon Panzak, and the Court appoints the PUBLIC	legal representative is appointed for the deceased personal representative, the Court may compel the	
Order	ADMINISTRATOR as the personal representative. Order to be prepared. The Court notes for the minute order that minimal work will need to be done. Matter is set for Status Hearing Re Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal on 10/4/2013, and Status Hearing Re the Filing of the First Account and/or petition for final distribution on	attorney of record in the estate proceeding to file an account of the administration of the deceased personal representative.	
Aff. Post	6/27/2014.	Reviewed by: LEG	
Letters		Reviewed on: 7/2/13	
UCCJEA	Letters of Administration with Will Annexed issued to the	Updates:	
Citation FTB Notice	Public Administrator on 6/3/2013.	Recommendation: File 12 – Panzak	
FIB NOICE	~Please see additional page~	FIIE 12-FUIIZUK	

First Additional Page 12, John R. Panzak (Estate), Case No. 10CEPR00505

<u>Note for Background</u>: Status Report of Personal Representative filed 1/8/2013 and Status Report of Personal Representative filed 8/23/2012 by John R. Panzak, Jr., state, in pertinent parts:

- The probate estate has a single asset which is a brokerage account with Merrill Lynch; most of Decedent's assets were in his living trust which are not part of the probate estate;
- Gordon Panzak filed two litigation matters between himself and Petitioner, as the Executor of the estate; one of the litigation matters involves the probate estate; the second matter is a civil litigation action filed by Mr. Panzak (Case #11CECG00789) regarding the Decedent's trust and trust assets; the issues in the civil litigation matter are entwined with the issues in the probate estate;
- The Petitioner was prepared to commence trial in the civil litigation action, which was scheduled to begin on 12/12/2012; on 12/6/2012, just six days prior to the scheduled civil litigation action trial date, Gordon Panzak dismissed this case without prejudice, and on the same day [emphasis in original], he filed a new civil litigation action (Case #12CECG03842) citing the same causes of action and the same grievances as alleged in the action he just dismissed the new complaint is basically a copy of the complaint that was dismissed the same day (please refer to copy of new complaint filed 12/6/2012 attached as Exhibit A);
- The issues in the civil litigation matter are entwined with the issues in the probate estate; as soon as the civil litigation is resolved, Petitioner intends to close the probate estate.
- A Mandatory Settlement Conference in the civil litigation was scheduled for 11/13/2012, and a trial date was set of 12/12/2012;
- Several creditor's claims were filed with the Court or presented against the estate and have been rejected, in sum as follows: Gordon Panzak filed on 12/8/2010 several claims including ½ interest in Santa Cruz property, rent waste and damage, pick-up truck and furniture, for a claimed value totaling in excess of \$1 million; all rejected on 2/1/2011;
- An Inventory and Appraisal was filed on 4/25/2011 showing an estate value of \$520,693.06 (please refer to Schedule A attached for summary of the estate inventory);
- The devisee of the estate pursuant to Decedent's Will admitted to probate on 8/22/2010 is John R. Panzak, Jr.,
 Trustee of the John R. Panzak Living Trust.

Atty

13

Kruthers, Heather H., of County Counsel's Office (for Public Administrator, Successor Trustee)

Status Hearing

DOD: 3/12/2010	JOHN R. PANZAK, JR., son, served as Trustee of the	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	JOHN ROBERT PANZAK LIVING TRUST dated	
	11/27/2000 since the Decedent's death in March	
	2010.	
Cont. from	Beneficiaries of the Decedent's Will are John R.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Panzak, Jr., Gordon Panzak, and the JOHN ROBERT	
Verified	PANZAK LIVING TRUST; beneficiaries of the JOHN	
Inventory	ROBERT PANZAK LIVING TRUST are John R. Panzak,	
PTC	Jr., and Gordon Panzak.	
Not.Cred.	Petition for Appointment of Successor Trustee was	
Notice of Hrg	filed 3/11/2013 by SHARON PANZAK , spouse, stating	
Aff.Mail	the Successor Trustee, JOHN R. PANZAK, JR. , died	
Aff.Pub.	on 2/15/2013, and requesting she be appointed	
Sp.Ntc.	successor trustee.	
Pers.Serv.	Objections to and Opposition to Sharon Panzak's	
Conf. Screen	Petition for Appointment of Successor Trustee was	
Letters	filed 4/24/2013 by GORDON PANZAK , claiming the	
Duties/Supp	position of successor trustee vested in him no later	
Objections	than 3/18/2013 as the second named successor trustee of the Trust.	
Video	ilosiee of the flost.	
Receipt	Minute Order dated 4/29/2013 from the hearing on	
CI Report	the petition for appointment of successor trustee	
9202	states the petition is denied as to Sharon Panzak	
Order	and the Court appoints the PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR	
Aff. Posting	as successor trustee. Order to be prepared.	Reviewed by: LEG
Status Rpt	Order Appointing Public Administrator as Successor	Reviewed on: 7/3/13
UCCJEA	- Trustee was filed 5/22/2013.	Updates:
Citation	1100100 1100 07 227 2010.	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 13 – Panzak

Guerrero, Michele (pro per Petitioner/Guardian)
Petition for Termination of Guardianship

Ag	e: 16 years		MICHELE GUERRERO , Guardian, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			MICHELLE GUERRERO was appointed guardian on 8/23/2012.	
Со	nt. from		Father: Deceased	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	Verified		Mother: VIKKI PHILLIPS	
	Inventory		Paternal grandfather: Deceased	
	PTC		Paternal grandmother: Rita McCoy	
	Not.Cred.		Maternal grandparents: Deceased	
✓	Notice of Hrg		Petitioner states the minor has remained	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/O	living with his mother since 12/21/12 and has not been in her care. She would like	
	Aff.Pub.		to terminate the guardianship so that she	
	Sp.Ntc.		is relieved from all legal responsibility for the minor.	
	Pers.Serv.		ine minor.	
	Conf.		Court Investigator Charlotte Bien's Report	
	Screen		filed on 6/18/2013.	
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	Cl Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 7/3/2013
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 14 - DeLaCruz

Garcia, Antonio L (pro per Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Ag	je: 9	There is no temporary	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		ANTONIO GARCIA, step-father, is petitioner.	Per CI report Petitioner no longer wishes to pursue guardianship. If the petition is
		Father: JUVENTINO CHAVEZ	to go forward Petitioner will need to personally serve the parents.
Co	nt. from	Mother: JULIA PATRICIA RAMIREZ	personally serve me persons.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified	Paternal Grandfather: Deceased Paternal Grandmother: Natalia Sosa	
	Inventory	Paternal Granamoiner; Natalia sosa	
	PTC	Maternal Grandfather: Peter Ramirez,	
	Not.Cred.	Deceased	
	Notice of	Maternal Grandmother: Maria Morales	
	Hrg	Polition or states: the prosther of the main aris	
	Aff.Mail	Petitioner states: the mother of the minor is homeless and abusing drugs. She recently	
	Aff.Pub.	came to the home but petitioner did not	
	Sp.Ntc.	open the door. For the past seven years the	
	Pers.Serv.	minor has had stability while in petitioner's	
	Conf.	care and he does not want the mother to	
	Screen	come around and take the child. Petitioner states that the father is not stable and is not	
	Letters	a legal resident therefore it is difficult for him	
	Duties/Supp	to provide the basics for the child's well-	
	Objections	being.	
	Video	Count have discounted to the Discounted	
	Receipt	Court Investigator Charlotte Bien's Report filed on 6/14/13.	
-	CI Report	= IIIEG 011 0/ 14/ 10.	
\vdash	9202	_	
	Order	-	Paviawad by: VT
	Aff. Posting Status Rpt		Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 7/2/2013.
	UCCJEA	=	Updates:
	Citation	_	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 15 - Ramirez

Pro Per Rodriguez, Joann (Pro Per Petitioner, sister)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 2250)

Ag	e: 17 years		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		General Hearing set for 8/26/2013	Note: Court records indicate the proposed ward, Jesse Alvarado, is
Со	nt. from	JOANN RODRIGUEZ, sister, is Petitioner.	a ward of the Juvenile Delinquency Court (Case 13CEJ600480-1).
✓	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified	Father: UNKNOWN ; deceased.	Delinquency Court <i>Minute Order</i> dated 6/18/2013 [Judge Kimberly
	Inventory PTC	Mother: CAROLINE ALVARADO; deceased.	Gaab] states in pertinent part that minor is to remain detained
	Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg X	Paternal grandfather: Unknown Paternal grandmother: Unknown	pending disposition; Probation to look into issue of minor's legal guardian; next hearing: disposition
	Aff.Mail Aff.Pub.	Maternal grandfather: Unknown	7/2/2013. Court records do not yet show a minute order from the
	Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. X	Maternal grandmother: Unknown	7/2/2013 hearing as of 7/3/2013.
√	Conf. Screen	Petitioner states she is the minor's sister and he	Need Notice of Hearing and proof of five (5) court days' The law are and against a five of the court and
✓	Letters Duties/Supp	currently has no stable home, he is getting into trouble at school, and he is currently in Juvenile	notice by personal service of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for
	Objections Video	Hall. Petitioner states the minor does not live with her but lives with her aunt and their brother Mike,	Appointment of Temporary Guardian, or Consent to
	Receipt	and Petitioner is going through the process of getting guardianship because their parents are	Appointment of Guardian and
	CI Report	deceased and she believes that in her care, the	Waiver of Notice for: • Jesse Alvarado, proposed
√	Order	minor will improve as she is married and her husband can be a positive role model for the	ward.
	Aff. Posting	minor. Petitioner states the minor is in Juvenile Hall for bad behavior and the Judge wants someone	Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt	to have guardianship of him because no one	Reviewed on: 7/3/13
✓	UCCJEA	currently has guardianship.	Updates:
	Citation	, 5	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 17 – Alvarado

17